Why were more public meetings on media rule change not held? The FCC and the rules exist to protect and benefit the public. To leave the public out does not make sense, especially in a democracy. There should have been well publicized meetings in each region of the country. I understand that the FCC has had many meetings with industry lobbyists, and traveled at industry expense to many industry meetings and conventions. Some of those meetings are reported to have been outside this country, at places like Paris, Hong Kong, and Rio de Janeiro. If the commissioners and staff of the FCC can travel to such distant places (to learn about media in the United States?!?!??!) why can they not travel to the different regions of this country to hear from their employers, the citizens of this country?

I think more complete public discussion is necessary before any changes are made in media rules. The public needs to know all the facts and all of the proposed changes, and have meaningful opportunities to respond, before any decisions are made. Let us keep and enforce the present rules until there has been a proper public discussion, with full public availability of and access to the information on this issue. If you consider rule changes necessary, publish the proposed changes with supporting reasons, including the full information used by you to reach your conclusions, then let the public and our elected representatives consider, discuss, and render opinions before any decision is made to put the changes into effect. Why were more public meetings on media rule change not held? The FCC and the rules exist to protect and benefit the public. To leave the public out does not make sense, especially in a democracy. should have been well publicized meetings in each region of the country. I understand that the FCC has had many meetings with industry lobbyists, and traveled at industry expense to many industry meetings and conventions. Some of those meetings are reported to have been outside this country, at places like Paris, Hong Kong, and Rio de Janeiro. If the commissioners and staff of the FCC can travel to such distant places (to learn about media in the United States?!?!??) why can they not travel to the different regions of this country to hear from their employers, the citizens of this country?

I think more complete public discussion is necessary before any changes are made in media rules. The public needs to know all the facts and all of the proposed changes, and have meaningful opportunities to respond, before any decisions are made. Let us keep and enforce the present rules until there has been a proper public discussion, with full public availability of and access to the information on this issue. If you consider rule changes necessary, publish the proposed changes with supporting reasons, including the full information used by you to reach your conclusions, then let the public and our elected representatives consider, discuss, and render opinions before any decision is made to put the changes into effect.